



WORLD HEADQUARTERS

November 21, 2002

Congresswoman Jane Harman  
36<sup>th</sup> Congressional District  
229 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, D.C. 20515

DEC 03 2002

**RE: South Bay – Proposed Telephone Area Code Split**

Dear Congresswoman Harman:

This is in reference to the various proposals being considered to change our (310) area code.

Sunrider International of Torrance, California, along with its affiliate companies, has several hundred employees in southern California. We manufacture and distribute various food supplements and cosmetic and skin care products internationally. Our manufacturing operations and world headquarters are located here. While the growth of both the economy and population create demands upon our existing communication systems, any change of our area code will adversely impact us. Splitting the area code now will not only cause us to incur additional costs, such as new stationery, signage, marketing literature and related printing expenses, but will also inconvenience our customers and vendors. We estimate our direct costs for any area code change to exceed several thousand dollars.

We would kindly request your opposition to any change to our (310) area code. Any split of our area code would be yet another significant factor making it more challenging to remain competitive, and hurt local business.

Thank you for your anticipated support in retaining our area code. Should you have any questions, please let me know.

Sincerely,

**SUNRIDER INTERNATIONAL**

Paul McCabe  
Senior Counsel

PM/fhl

cc: Barbara Glennie, Torrance Area Chamber of Commerce



Answering Service • Voice Mail • Alphanumeric Paging • FAX • Electronic Mail • PC Interface

11-25-2002

**310 Area Code Hearing, El Segundo, California.**

**Area Code Split – A Lose-Lose Proposition.**

Area code splits are aptly named. They split communities who fight to avoid changing telephone identities. The South Bay has experience. The 310 area code already split from 213, and then went to 10 digit dialing, then the repeal of the 10-digit dialing. No one wins. The winning halves only a short reprieve from another split.

Splits fail in their mission. They actually generate wasted numbers as businesses must get new numbers in the new area code to maintain their "local presence". The current system produces de facto 10-digit dialing as areas get smaller and smaller.

This 310 split is a unique case of double jeopardy. We programmed our community to accommodate the 10 digit mandate. This creates added potential for confusion and failure. The 10 digit programming means emergency dialers will fail within the new area code. Dialers include freeway call boxes, elevator phones, water level alarms, security alarms, medic alerts and apartment gates. In a "normal split" 7 digit dialers within an area code would continue to **work**. Due to 10 digit programming, they will dial wrong numbers.

Splits generate needless expenses in an already tough economy. The cost to my small business is in the thousands of dollars in labor and re-programming costs in addition to the potential for liability of failed dialers. Ironically, we paid in vain for the 10-digit mandate. Additional expenses include printing and advertising changes to reflect our new number. Costs will be borne by businesses, cities and counties. Expenses lower profits and therefore federal and state income taxes.

If anybody wins in an area split, the win is subsidized by you and me.

Steven Diels  
AAMCOM  
310-318-5589  
Steve@AAMCOM.com

November 25.2002

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: Numbering Resource Optimization; Petition of the California Public Utilities  
Commission for Authority to Implement Technology-Specific Overlay Area Codes;  
Petition of the California Public Utilities Commission for Waiver of the Federal  
Communication Commission's Contamination Threshold Rule. CC Docket No. 99-200

Dear Ms. Dortch:

An area code split in 310 would hurt me for these reasons:

1/2 OF THE SENIOR RESIDENTS AT PARK VISTA  
CAN'T REMEMBER THEIR PHONE NUMBERS AS IT  
IS. IF YOU CHANGE THE 310 AREA CODE IT WILL  
UNDENIABLY CAUSE GRAVE PROBLEMS FOR NOT  
JUST THESE ELKS BUT ALL OF US IN EL  
SEGUNDO. WE CAN'T DO 10 DIGITS!

I urge the FCC to grant the petitions filed by the California Public Utilities Commission  
as soon as possible.

Please consider this letter as part of the record in this proceeding

Thank you,

LORRAINE BROWN (RESIDENT)

Name

756 MAIN ST EL SEGUNDO CA 90245

Business Name (if applicable)

REPRESENTING PARK VISTA SENIOR HOUSING

EL SEGUNDO, CA 90245

Address

310 322-7547

Telephone Number

November 25.2002

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: Numbering Resource Optimization; Petition of the California Public Utilities  
Commission for Authority to Implement Technology-Specific Overlay Area Codes;  
Petition of the California Public Utilities Commission for Waiver of the Federal  
Communication Commission's Contamination Threshold Rule, CC Docket No. 99-200

Dear Ms. Dortch.

An arca code split in 310 would hurt me for these reasons:

AS A SMALL BUSINESS MAN AN AREA CODE  
SPLIT WOULD REQUIRE ME TO REPRINT ALL OF  
MY BUSINESS CARDS AND ADVERTISING BROCHURES  
AS WELL AS REPROGRAM MY COMPUTERS, FAX  
AND PHONE SWITCH. I CANNOT AFFORD THIS  
(PIT'

I urge the FCC to grant the petitiolis tiled by the California Public Utilities Commission  
as soon as possible.

Please consider this letter as part of the record in this proceeding

Thank you,

JOHN CONNELL  
Name

Business Name (if applicable)  
CONNELL COMMUNICATIONS

316 MARYLAND ST #2  
Address

EL SEGUNDO CA 90245

Telephone Number 310 322 3468

**David M. Brudney**

---

**From:** "David M. Brudney" <bruds@ix.netcom.com>  
**To:** "Rep. Jane Harman"  
**CC:** "Karen E. Brudney" <kbruds@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, November 22, 2002 4:30 PM  
**Subject:** **Re: Save Area Code 310!**

I am unable to attend the important meeting you have arranged on Monday in El Segundo. I have a "can't break" previous appointment in Torrance at the same time.

Had I been able to attend and had I been invited to speak, I can assure you that I would have expressed a very strong opinion on keeping area code 310 in tact.

I am a small businessman who has run a successful consulting business out of my home since 1979. Hopefully, my remarks **will** be received as "representative" of all **small** business people here in the South Bay.

When I first started my business (1979), I spent whatever money I could afford on very professional-looking business cards, stationery, brochures and a few direct mail pieces. Believe me, it was a significant investment for a sole practitioner working within the constraints of very small margins.

I had absolutely no idea when I started that a day would come when I would have no other choice but to redo all of my print collateral because of a communications decision over which I had absolutely no control.

**Some** time in the '80s I lost "213" and changed to "310" and, obviously, in order to stay in business. I had no choice but to redo everything. Major cost #1.

Sometime in the late '80s or early '90s my zipcode changed from "90274" to "90275" and, once again, I had to have everything redone. Major cost #2.

And now, here we go again. It looks as though I am faced with major cost #3 due to a potential loss of "310."

Every small business person faces unexpected costs. That's just part of being in business. But when that cost comes and the business person **KNOWS** that there are other options (i.e., use of the 2M "contaminated" numbers in 310), that/this, to me, seems to be nonsense.

I urge you to speak for all of us small business people in the South Bay at Monday's meeting. Please use all or any part of this e-mail as a show of support for your position.

And thank you very much for bringing all of this to my attention, for your concern, and for your "stepping up" to the plate.

I was misinformed before (followed strict party lines at the ballot box) but

I am so glad now that I did, in tact, cross party lines and voted for you during your last campaign.

Sincerely,

David M. Brudney, ISHIC  
David Brudney & Associates  
Rancho Palos Verdes, CA  
310-373-6936 Fax 310-375-6453  
bruds@ix.netcom.com  
www.hotel-online.com/Neo/Trends/Brudney/  
www.ishc.com

----- Original Message -----

From: "Rep. Jane Harman" <ca36ima.pub@mail.house.gov>  
To: <bruds@ix.netcom.com>  
Sent: Thursday, November 21, 2002 9:49 AM  
Subject: Save Area Code 310!

> Dear Mr. Brudney:

>  
> I will be hosting a town hall meeting in El Segundo on Monday, November  
> 25th from noon until 1:30 p.m.

310-372-1600

> For more information on this event, please visit  
> <http://www.house.gov/harman/district/meetjane.html>  
>

> Regards,

>

> JANE HARMAN

> Member of Congress

> <http://www.house.gov/harman>

372-1622

> \*\*\*\*\*

>

> If you do not wish to receive e-mails relating to events that  
> Congresswoman Harman will host in the 36th District, **please type "REMOVE"**  
> in the subject line at <http://www.house.gov/harman/emailJane.html>  
>

>

>

>

>

>

>

## View e:\asp\QNG\Email\_Objects\200211\1127220417.txt

From: Web forms <webforms@www.house.gov>  
 Date: 11/27/2002 10:04:11 PM  
 To: harmaninfo@mail.house.gov  
 Subject: Possible 310 area code change

Dear Congresswoman Harman,

I am forwarding you the email I just sent to FCC Commissioner Copps. I attended Monday's town hall meeting in El Segundo about the 310 and 909 area codes and here are my comments as a concerned citizen. **Thank you** for your concern in this important matter.

Regards,  
 Robert Kunesh  
 El Segundo, California  
 RBKunesh@aol.com

Subj: Possible 310 and 909 area code changes

Date: Tue, 26 Nov 2002 00:54:45 -0500  
 From: RBKunesh  
 To: fccinfo@fcc.gov

Dear FCC,

I attended the town hall meeting today at the El Segundo (Calif.) concerning the possible 310 and 909 area code changes. I came to the meeting to represent only myself. I would like to express my opinion to Commissioner Copps and the entire FCC leadership about this very important issue for the South Bay of Los Angeles.

As the 310 and 909 area codes are approaching their limit, change will no doubt be needed. Of the changes proposed, the one that distresses me the most is the take back of the 310 and 909 area codes from wireless phone users. This is being referred to as a technology specific overlay. What this means to me is a change to my current wireless phone number. I believe that it would be unfair to try to solve this problem by only going after the wireless phone users.

There are many wireless phone users, such as myself, who use their wireless phones for business and for important family contacts, such as the elderly. I, like so many of the Los Angeles wireless customers, was given no information when I signed up for service that my current 310 phone number had a second class status. Because I have always felt that my 310 wireless number had the same status as a land line phone I have made it my primary number. I have made stationery as well as distributed my number widely, to be forced to make changes to my area code now would leave me (a small business person) in a pickle.

Considering equal treatment of wireless phone users, I originally purchased my wireless 310 phone because the number itself did not label it as a wireless phone. When working with many large organizations (such as banks and other businesses) they don't tend to consider wireless numbers as an established number. If a wireless specific area code **was** created it would allow companies to discriminate (in my opinion) against the wireless users. I believe that many businesses would not view **these new** wireless area code numbers as established numbers. I can see these businesses saying, this is a cell phone number, what is your home number? My answer of course would be, this IS my home number. My current wireless phone number does not cause me to have this problem, so I am not forced to have both wireless and traditional phone service at the same time.

I feel that the best option to solve the 310 and 909 problem would be to go with what is called an all services overlay. This would mean that a new area code would be used for all new customers and it would not effect any of the existing 310 and 909 phone numbers.

There is only one main issue as far as I see it to an all services overlay, it would mean that 10 digits would need to be dialed for all 310 and 909 residents. I have no problem with this. As you know, for a period of time the 310 residents already used a 10 digit dial. I had no problem dialing 10 digits and it did not prove to be a burden to me, my family, my elders or friends.

Some in today's town hall meeting felt that there is no way the elderly *or* the handicapped would be able to dial 10 digits, I don't think that this has to be the case. There could be technology employed to help with this situation for those who need it. I'm sure the interested parties involved would be willing to help in this area.

As for as 10 digit **dialing** goes. I think everyone needs to remember that more and more elderly and handicapped people are getting wireless phones all the time, simply tossing the wireless phones out of the 310 and 909 **will** not guarantee that these people will be able to dial 7 digits to talk to their families and doctors. We need to find a solution to this problem that works better for the long term, I feel the all services overlay is the best way to go.

**Thank** you for your consideration.

Robert B. Kunesh  
concerned **310** wireless phone user  
610 Sheldon St.  
El Segundo, Ca. 90245  
rbkunesh@aol.com  
(310)283-2356 (Home)

==== Original Formatted Message Starts Here =====

<APP>CUSTOM  
<PREFIX>Mr.</PREFIX>  
<FIRST>Robert B.</FIRST>  
<LAST>Kunesh</LAST>  
<ADDR1>610 Sheldon St.</ADDR1>  
<ADDR2></ADDR2>  
<CITY>El Segundo</CITY>  
<STATE>CA</STATE>  
<ZIP>90245</ZIP>  
<EMAIL>rbkunesh@aol.com</EMAIL>  
<ISSUE>Possible 310 area code change</ISSUE>  
<MSG>

Dear Congresswoman Harman.

I am forwarding you the email I just sent to FCC Commissioner Copps. I attended Monday's town hall meeting in El Segundo about the **310** and 909 area codes and here are my comments as a concerned citizen. Thank you for your concern in this important matter.

Regards,  
Robert Kunesh  
El Segundo, California  
RBKunesh@aol.com

----- Subj: Possible 310 and 909 area code changes

Date: Tue, 26 Nov 2002 00:54:45 -0500  
From: RBKunesh  
To: fccinfo@fcc.gov

Dear FCC.

I attended the town hall meeting today at the El Segundo (Calif.) concerning the possible **310** and 909 area code changes. I came to the meeting to represent only myself. I would **like** to express my opinion to Commissioner Copps and the entire FCC leadership about this very important issue for the South Bay of Los Angeles.

**As** the **310** and 909 area codes are approaching their limit, change **will** no doubt be needed. Of the changes proposed, the



**View e:\asp\QNG\Email\_Objects\200211\1129140604.txt**

From: Web forms <webforms@www6.house.gov>  
 Date: 11/29/2002 2:04:00 PM  
 To: harmaninro@mail.house.gov  
 Subject: Area Code split

---

Hi,

I agree with the four proposals you mentioned on your website regarding the 310 area code **split**, especially the electronic overlay and the seven-digit dialing.

I **tried** the FCC website, but I wasn't sure **that** I would be able to be heard on their website so I did not **post** any comments there.

Thanks,

Original Formatted Message Starts Here ==

<APP>CUSTOM  
 <PREFIX>Mr.</PREFIX>  
 <FIRST>Dave</FIRST>  
 <LAST>Snowden</LAST>  
 <ADDR1>519 North Gertruda Avenue</ADDR1>  
 <ADDR2></ADDR2>  
 <CITY>Redondo Beach</CITY>  
 <STATE>CA</STATE>  
 <ZIP>90277-2129</ZIP>  
 <EMAIL>dvs519@earthlink.net</EMAIL>  
 <ISSUE>Area Code split</ISSUE>  
 <MSG>Hi,

I agree with the four proposals you mentioned on your website regarding the 310 area code split, especially the electronic overlay and the seven-digit dialing

I **tried** the FCC website, but I wasn't sure that I would be able to be heard on their website so I did not post any comments there.

Thanks, </MSG>

</APP>

---

View e:\asp\QNG\Email\_Objects\200211\1129140604.txt - IMAUSER

Version 2.3.B.1004 (AB) on ca36iq using the QNG configuration on the qpower/qng/OLEdb database with RTF under 1024x768 resolution - 12/03/2002  
[Set up Application Preferences for IMAUSER](#)

**View e:\asp\QNG\Email\_Objects\200211\1121160726A.txt**

From: Web forms <webforms@www.house.gov>  
Date: 11/21/2002 4:04:11 PM  
To: harmaninfo@mail.house.gov  
Subject: Save 310 Area Code

---

Jane Harman, Congresswoman  
811 N. Catalina Ave. Suite 1302  
Redondo Beach, CA 90277  
ph. 310 372 1600  
fx. 310 372 1622

Dear Congresswoman Harman,

Please **know** that I wholeheartedly support your efforts on behalf of your constituents to save our 310 area code. I ~~am~~ unable to attend the El Segundo meeting to lend my support, but am sending **you** this message with the belief that somehow my voice might be heard through your tireless efforts. Please keep **up** with your excellent work to protect ~~our~~ area code.

Sincerely,

Rita Kanell, Ed.D.

----- Original Formatted Message Starts Here -----

<APP>CUSTOM  
<PREFIX>Dr.</PREFIX>  
<FIRST>Rita</FIRST>  
<LAST>Kanell</LAST>  
<ADDR1>7810 W. 79th Street</ADDR1>  
<ADDR2></ADDR2>  
<CITY>Playa del Rey</CITY>  
<STATE>CA</STATE>  
<ZIP>90293</ZIP>  
<EMAIL>rkanell@calstatela.edu</EMAIL>  
<ISSUE>Save 310 Area Code</ISSUE>  
<MSG>Jane Harman, Congresswoman  
811 N. Catalina Ave. Suite 1302  
Redondo Beach, CA 90277  
ph. 310 372 1600  
fx 310 372 1622

Dear Congresswoman Harman,

Please **know** that I wholeheartedly **support** your efforts **on** behalf of your constituents to save our 310 area code. I am unable to attend the El Segundo meeting to lend my support, but am sending you this message ~~with~~ the belief that somehow my voice might be heard through your tireless efforts. Please keep up **with** your excellent work to protect our area **code**.

Sincerely,

Rita Kanell, Ed.D.</MSG>  
</APP>

## View e:\asp\QNG\Email\_Objects\200211\1126171716.txt

From: Web Forms<webforms@www6.house.gov>  
 Date: 11/26/2002 3:04:25 PM  
 To: harmaninroo@mail.house.gov  
 Subject: CC Docket 99-200

William Costley II  
 319 N. Malgren Avenue  
 San Pedro, CA 90732

November 26, 2002

The Honorable Jane Harman  
 United States House of Representatives  
 229 Cannon House Office Building  
 Washington, DC 20515-0536

Rep. Harman:

Both Options should be implemented.

Option One: there is no logical reason for the phone company to hoard numbers as these **are** business inventory items.

Option Two: Like ATM's, I have a phone line, with area code and number, that is only used for a PC Internet connection. This area code could and should be some unique number as should all mobile and cell phones.

Sincerely,

William T. Costley II,

== Original Formatted Message Starts Here ==

<APP>CUSTOM  
 <PREFIX>Mr.</PREFIX>  
 <FIRST>William</FIRST>  
 <LAST>Costley II</LAST>  
 <ADDR1>319 N. Malgren Avenue</ADDR1>  
 <ADDR2></ADDR2>  
 <CITY>San Pedro</CITY>  
 <STATE>CA</STATE>  
 <ZIP>90732</ZIP>  
 <EMAIL>bcostley@att.net</EMAIL>  
 <ISSUE>CC Docket 99-200</ISSUE>  
 <MSG>William Costley II  
 319 N. Malgren Avenue  
 San Pedro, CA 90732

November 26, 2002

The Honorable Jane Harman

**View e:\asp\QNG\Email\_Objects\200211\112310628.txt**

From: Web forms <webforms@www6.house.gov>  
Date: 11/23/2002 1:04:12 AM  
To: harmaninfo@mail.house.gov  
Subject: area cose 310

---

Dear Representative Harman,

Thank you for helping avoid the splitting **up** of Area Code 310

I suggest that a new "Over-lay" Area Code be used **for** all new listings, as this will allow us **to** keep our old numbers and save printing cost. avoid delays caused by "wrong" numbers, etc.

This should cost or cause no problems. if the calls to or **from** these over-lays are free. That way, **we** can easily have twice the capacity, and we can keep our customers happy. (Voters, also.)

Keep up the good **work**,

Chuck Cressler  
Veteran Infotech Sales  
chuck@veteraninfolech.com

P.S. I will not be able to attend the meeting of 11/25/02 as I **will** be out of town. Please accept my apology,

Chuck

==== Original Formatted Message Starts Here =====

<APP>CUSTOM  
<PREFIX>Mr.</PREFIX>  
<FIRST>Chuck</FIRST>  
<LAST>Cressler</LAST>  
<ADDR1>21614 Ellinwood Drive</ADDR1>  
<ADDR2></ADDR2>  
<CITY>Torrance</CITY>  
<STATE>CA</STATE>  
<ZIP>90503-6330</ZIP>  
<EMAIL>chuck@veteraninfotech.com</EMAIL>  
<ISSUE>area cose 310</ISSUE>  
<MSG>Dear Representative Harman,

Thank you for helping avoid the splitting **up** of Area Code 310.

I suggest that a new "Over-lay" Area Code be used **for** all new listings, as this will allow us **to** keep our old numbers and save printing cost. avoid delays caused by "wrong" numbers, etc.

This should cost or cause no problems. if the calls to or **from** these over-lays are free. That way, **we** can easily have twice the capacity, and we can keep our customers happy. (Voters, also.)

Keep up the good work,

Chuck Cressler  
Veteran Infotech Sales  
chuck@veteraninfotech.com

**View e:\asp\QNG\Email\_Objects\200211\1127130554.txt**

From: Web forms <webforms@www6.house.gov>  
 Dale: 11/27/2002 1:04 33 PM  
 To: harmaninfo@mail.house.gov  
 Subject: Area Code 310 CC Docket 99-200

---

1- Yes, limit the amount of numbers the telephone companies can hold in reserve!

2- Yes, establish technology-specific overlay!

I would **like** to voice a strong opinion favoring all measures to prevent or postpone the changing of area codes, especially 310

It is totally Non-Value-Added expense for all businesses large and small to change area codes.

I also favor non-specific overlay after other measures have been exercised.

Limiting a geographic area to one code is like legislating that houses may have only one entry door.

Pilar H. Hale  
 President,  
 Simmons & Hale Engineering

==== Original Formatted Message Starts Here =====

```
<APP>CUSTOM
<PREFIX>Ms</PREFIX>
<FIRST>Pilar</FIRST>
<LAST>Hale</LAST>
<ADDR1>2130 Velez Drive</ADDR1>
<ADDR2></ADDR2>
<CITY>RPV</CITY>
<STATE>CA</STATE>
<ZIP>90275</ZIP>
<EMAIL>pilars@earthlink.net</EMAIL>
<ISSUE>Area Code 310 CC Docket 99-200</ISSUE>
<MSG>1- Yes, limit the amount of numbers the telephone companies can hold in reserve!
```

2- Yes, establish technology-specific overlay!

I would like to voice a strong opinion favoring all measures to prevent or postpone the changing of area codes, especially 310.

It is totally Non-Value-Added expense for all businesses large and small to change area codes.

I also favor non-specific overlay after other measures have been exercised

Limiting a geographic area to one code is like legislating that houses may have only one entry door.

Pilar H. Hale  
 President,  
 Simmons & Hale Engineering

```
</MSG>
</APP>
```

**View e:\asp\QNG\Email\_Objects\200211\1129110453.txt**

From: Web Forms <webforms@www6.house.gov>  
 Dale: 11/29/2002 11:04:07 AM  
 To: harmaninfo@mail.house.gov  
 Subject: area code 310

---

I applaud your efforts on CC Docket number 99-200. This area code business is very frustrating and I hope your efforts will be successful. I think the two options mentioned in the Daily Breeze will be helpful. I also think you should consider adding legislation that would allow cell phone users to keep their numbers when they move from provider to provider. I recently got enticed away from one company to another and was then confronted with the realization I lost my old number and was given a 323 area code number. This is very frustrating since it is inconvenience and dangerous to have to dial in those extra numbers all the time. I call 310 people 99% of the time and now I have to dial 1-310 before the number. Please push the safety issue when dialing and driving. I know that is not the safest thing to do but it is safer dialing fewer digits. Use that as an angle. Why can't people just keep their cell phone numbers when changing providers? I'd rather see the area split up again than this overlay business. Previously, they made everyone dial all 10 digits and that was a nightmare and got everyone mad. It was rescinded. I hope you can help us all with your legislation. I applaud your efforts.

----- Original Formatted Message Starts Here -----

<APP>CUSTOM  
 <PREFIX>Mr.</PREFIX>  
 <FIRST>Steven</FIRST>  
 <LAST>Feinstein</LAST>  
 <ADDR1>702 N. Maria Ave.</ADDR1>  
 <ADDR2></ADDR2>  
 <CITY>Redondo Beach</CITY>  
 <STATE>CA</STATE>  
 <ZIP>90277</ZIP>  
 <EMAIL>sealman9@aol.com</EMAIL>  
 <ISSUE>area code 310</ISSUE>

<MSG>I applaud your efforts on CC Docket number 99-200. This area code business is very frustrating and I hope your efforts will be successful. I think the two options mentioned in the Daily Breeze will be helpful. I also think you should consider adding legislation that would allow cell phone users to keep their numbers when they move from provider to provider. I recently got enticed away from one company to another and was then confronted with the realization I lost my old number and was given a 323 area code number. This is very frustrating since it is inconvenience and dangerous to have to dial in those extra numbers all the time. I call 310 people 99% of the time and now I have to dial 1-310 before the number. Please push the safety issue when dialing and driving. I know that is not the safest thing to do but it is safer dialing fewer digits. Use that as an angle. Why can't people just keep their cell phone numbers when changing providers? I'd rather see the area split up again than this overlay business. Previously, they made everyone dial all 10 digits and that was a nightmare and got everyone mad. It was rescinded. I hope you can help us all with your legislation. I applaud your efforts.</MSG></APP>

---

View e:\asp\QNG\Email\_Objects\200211\1129110453.txt - IMAUSER

Version 2.5.B.1004 (AB) on ca36iq using the QNG configuration on the qpower/qng/OLEdb database with RTF under 1024x768 resolution - 12/03/2002  
 Set up Application Preferences for IMAUSER

**View e:\asp\QNG\Email\_Objects\200211\112230706.txt**

From: Web forms <webforms@www6.house.gov>  
Date: 11/22/2002 3:04:10 AM  
To: harmaninfo@mail.house.gov  
Subject: 310 area code

---

I don't have time to attend your Town Hall, but I can give you my opinion here. STOP the split madness! We need an OVERLAY code for all new cell phones, pagers, and faxes.  
And no, we do NOT need ten-digit dialing with an overlay. Each phone can use 7-digit dialing within its own code. 310 land lines default to 310. Overlay cell phones default to the overlay code.  
Thanks.

--Bruce

Original Formatted Message Starts Here =====

<APP>CUSTOM  
<PREFIX>Mr.</PREFIX>  
<FIRST>Bruce</FIRST>  
<LAST>Hamilton</LAST>  
<ADDR1>2116 Bataan Rd. #C</ADDR1>  
<ADDR2></ADDR2>  
<CITY>Redondo Beach</CITY>  
<STATE>CA</STATE>  
<ZIP>90278-1436</ZIP>  
<EMAIL>bhami@pobox.com</EMAIL>  
<ISSUE>310 area code</ISSUE>  
<MSG>I don't have time to attend your Town Hall, but I can give you my opinion here STOP the split madness! We need an OVERLAY code for all new cell phones, pagers, and faxes.  
And no, we do NOT need ten-digit dialing with an overlay. Each phone can use 7-digit dialing within its own code. 310 land lines default to 310. Overlay cell phones default to the overlay code.  
Thanks,  
  
--Bruce</MSG>  
</APP>

---

View e:\asp\QNG\Email\_Objects\200211\112230706.txt - IMAUSER  
Version 2.5.10.04 (AB) on ca36iq using the QNG configuration on the qpower/qng/OLEdb database with RTF under 1024x768 resolution - 12/03/2002  
Set up Application Preferences for IMAUSER

**View e:\asp\QNG\Email\_Objects\200211\1121210439A.txt**

From: Web forms <webforms@www6.house.gov>  
Dale: 11/21/2002 9:03:56 PM  
To: harmaninfo@mail.house.gov  
Subject: 310 NPA

---

Thank you for your invitation to the Save the **310** NPA on Nov.27  
in El Segiindo. I will be unable to attend as we will be in Utah.

**As** telecom consultants, we are very familiar with the 310 NPA situation. The right solution is technology overlays. No one  
that I know cares what NPA **is** used for their Cell Phone.  
I have written to FCC Chairmen, Never received a response.

Should you find telecom expertise beneficial, we would hsppty to advise you  
Ken DeLong

==== Original Formatted Message Stuns Here ====

<APP>CUSTOM  
<PREFIX>Mr.</PREFIX>  
<FIRST>Ken</FIRST>  
<LAST>DeLong</LAST>  
<ADDR1>6940 Maycroft Dr.</ADDR1>  
<ADDR2></ADDR2>  
<CITY>RPV</CITY>  
<STATE>CA</STATE>  
<ZIP>90275</ZIP>  
<EMAIL>ken.delong@verizon.net</EMAIL>  
<ISSUE>310 NPA</ISSUE>  
<MSG>Thank you for your invitation to the Save the 310 NPA on Nov.27 in **EI**Segundo. I will be unable to attend as we  
will be in Utah.

**As** telecom consultants, we are **very** familiar with the 310 NPA situation. The right solution is technology overlays. No one  
that **I** know cares what NPA is used for their Cell Phone.  
I have written to FCC Chairmen. Never received a response.

Should you find telecom expertise beneficial. we would happy to advise you.  
Ken DeLong

</MSG>  
</APP>

---

View e:\asp\QNG\Email\_Objects\200211\1121210439A.txt - IMAUSER  
Version 2.5.B.1004 (AB) on ca36iq using the QNG configuration on the qpower/qng/OLEdb database with RTF under 1024x768 resolution - 12/03/2002  
Setup Application Preferences for IMAUSER



## DOCUMENT OFF-LINE

This page has been substituted for one of the following:

- o This document **is** confidential (**NOT FOR PUBLIC INSPECTION**)
- o An oversize page or document (such as a map) which was too large to be scanned into the ECFS system.
- o Microfilm, microform, certain photographs or videotape.
- o Other materials which, for one reason or another, could not be scanned into the ECFS system.

The actual document, page(s) or materials may be reviewed (**EXCLUDING CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS**) by contacting an Information Technician at the FCC Reference Information Centers) at **445 12<sup>th</sup>** Street, SW, Washington, DC. Room CY-A257 Please note the applicable docket or rulemaking number, document type and any other relevant information about the document in order to ensure speedy retrieval by the Information Technician

*One Videotape*